

COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

AUSTIN, TEXAS

AUSTIN, TEXAS

AUSTIN, TEXAS

ACCEPTED Transmitted 10/23/2020 11:08 AM

ACCEPTED Transmitted 10/26/2020 8:42 AM

ACCEPTED Transmitted 10/26/2020 8:42 AM

DEANA WILLIAMSON

Criminal Appellate Law (2011)

CLERK

The College
of the
STATE BAR OF THE BAR OF THE STATE BAR OF THE BAR OF THE BAR OF THE BAR OF THE BAR OF THE

FILED
FILED
OF CRIMINAL APPEALS
10/27/2020
DEANA WILLIAMSON, CLERK

PD-0545-20

Consultation by Appointment Only

October 23, 2020

Hon. Deanna Williamson, Clerk Court of Criminal Appeals of Texas Post Office Box 12308 Austin, Texas 78711-2308 FILED
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS
October 27, 2020
DEANA WILLIAMSON, CLERK

Dear Ms. Williamson:

Appellant's petition for discretionary review ("PDR") was filed on August 31, 2020. The State of Texas has not filed anything in response, but the undersigned has most recently been informed of a development which is relevant to this case and which militates in favor of the Court granting review.

Subject: Ratliff v. State; No. PD-0545-20

## Additional Reasons for Granting Appellant's Petition for Discretionary Review

Please advise the members of the Court that, on Monday, October 19, 2020, the Supreme Court granted certiorari in Lange v. California (Supreme Court case No. 20-18). The issue on which the grant was made is:

Whether the pursuit of a person whom a police officer has probable cause to believe has committed a misdemeanor categorically qualifies as an exigent circumstance sufficient to allow the officer to enter a home without a warrant.

The facts in <u>Lange</u> involve an officer with probable case to believe a defendant had committed misdemeanors. The officer entered the defendant's garage without a warrant and, after entry, ascertained that the defendant was intoxicated. The officer then arrested Lange for driving under the influence. In this case, although Appellant has suggested that there were several possible felonies involved, the complainant was arrested for a misdemeanor after Appellant, who had received information that the complainant fled from officers, entered the complainant's trailer without a warrant. The similarity of the facts of the two cases is obvious, and the legal questions involved are at least very similar, if not the same. The undersigned respectfully suggests, in light of the grant of certiorari in <u>Lange</u>, that the instant petition should be summarily granted, with resolution held until the Supreme Court's disposition of <u>Lange</u> is known.

## Motion for Leave to File

Appellant respectfully requests the Court to grant leave to file this supplemental list of reasons for granting discretionary review. The undersigned would show that the State will not be prejudiced by the additional information, as there is no change in the theory under which Appellant seeks discretionary review.

## Certificate of Compliance and Delivery

This is to certify that: (1) this document, created using WordPerfect<sup>™</sup> software, contains 370 words, excluding those items permitted by Rule 9.4 (i)(2)(B), Tex.R.App.Pro., and complies with Rules 9.4 (i)(2)(B) and 9.4 (i)(3), Tex.R.App.Pro.; and (2) on October 23, 2020, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing supplemental list reasons for granting rehearing was transmitted via the eService function on the State's eFiling portal, to to Blake Ewing (bewing@burnetcountytexas.org), counsel of record for the State of Texas, and Stacey M. Soule (Stacey.Soule@SPA.texas.gov), the State's Prosecuting Attorney.

Respectfully submitted:

David A. Schulman

Attorney at Law

zdrdavida@davidschulman.com

State Bar Card No. 17833400

1801 East 51st Street, Suite 365-474

Austin, Texas 78723 Tel. 512-474-4747

Lead Counsel for Appellant

## **Automated Certificate of eService**

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Anne Boyde on behalf of David Schulman Bar No. 17833400 officemanager@texasappeallawyers.com Envelope ID: 47468037

Status as of 10/26/2020 8:42 AM CST
Associated Case Party: The State of Texas

	Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
	Stacey M.Soule		stacey.soule@SPA.texas.gov	10/23/2020 11:08:27 AM	SENT
	Blake Ewing		bewing@burnetcountytexas.org	10/23/2020 11:08:27 AM	SENT

Associated Case Party: Kevin Ratliff

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Robert A.Daniel		robert.daniel@rdlawaustin.com	10/23/2020 11:08:27 AM	SENT
John G.Jasuta		lawyer1@johnjasuta.com	10/23/2020 11:08:27 AM	SENT
David A.Schulman		zdrdavida@davidschulman.com	10/23/2020 11:08:27 AM	SENT